arXiv:1412.3612v3 [math.QA] 8 Nov 2016

Quantum Hyperdeterminants and Hyper-Pfaffians

Naihuan Jing and Jian Zhang

Abstract. A notion of the generalized quantum monoid is introduced. It is proved that the quantum coordinate ring of the monoid can be lifted to a quantum hyper-algebra, in which the quantum determinant and the quantum Pfaffian are lifted to the quantum hyperdeterminant and quantum hyper-Pfaffian respectively. The quantum hyperdeterminant in even dimension is shown to be a q-analog of Cayley's first hyperdeterminant.

1. Introduction

In mathematics and physics, one is often lead to consider m-dimensional hypermatrices $A = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m})$ indexed by multi-indices, while the usual rectangular matrices are 2-dimensional [**So1, So2, GKZ, HT, Mat**]. An m-dimensional hypermatrix is said to have format $n^m = n \times \cdots \times n$ if each basic index i_k runs through $1, \dots, n$.

The space $\mathscr{A}_1$ of m-dimensional hypermatrices forms a representation of the group $\mathrm{GL}_n^{\otimes m} \times \mathrm{GL}_n^{\otimes m}$ from the left and right action:

$$\mathrm{GL}_n^{\otimes m} \times \mathscr{A}_1 \times \mathrm{GL}_n^{\otimes m} \longrightarrow \mathscr{A}_1. \tag{1.1}$$

The left action is defined by the multiplication rule $\mathrm{M}_n \times \mathscr{A}_1 \xrightarrow{\circ_k} \mathscr{A}_1$:

$$(B \circ_k A)_{i_1 \dots i_m} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i_k, j} a_{i_1 \dots i_{k-1} j i_{k+1} \dots i_m}. \tag{1.2}$$

The right action is defined by taking transpose.

Cayley had laid the foundation of classical invariant theory and multilinear algebra, in which he introduced the notion of hyperdeterminats for studying hypermatrices and tensors, see [**GKZ**] for a modern account of Cayley's theory. Cayley's first hyperdeterminant Det [**C, L1, L2**] is defined

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 16T99; Secondary: 20G42, 16W22; 15B33, 15A15.

Key words and phrases. quantum hyperdeterminants, Cayley's hyperdeterminant, quantum hyper-Pfaffians, q-forms, quantum monoids.

*Corresponding author: Jian Zhang.

for any even-dimensional hypermatrix $A = (a_{i_1 \dots i_{2m}})$, where $1 \leq i_j \leq n$ and $a_{i_1 \dots i_{2m}}$ are mutually commutative:

$$\mathrm{Det}(A) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{2m} \in S_n} (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \ell(\sigma_i)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{\sigma_1(i), \sigma_2(i), \dots, \sigma_{2m}(i)}. \tag{1.3}$$

One remarkable property of Det is the relative invariance under the action of $\mathrm{GL}_n^{\otimes 2m}$:

$$\mathrm{Det}(A \circ_k B) = \mathrm{Det}(B \circ_k A) = \mathrm{Det}(A) \det(B), \tag{1.4}$$

where B is any $n \times n$-matrix. More generally, for fixed k, l, one can define the following product for two hypermatrices of suitable formats:

$$(A_k \circ_l B)_{i_1 \dots i_{m+n-2}} = \sum_j a_{i_1 \dots i_{k-1} j i_{k+1} \dots i_m} b_{i_{m+1} \dots i_{l-1} j i_{l+1} \dots i_{m+n-2}}. \tag{1.5}$$

Then one has the invariance property:

$$\mathrm{Det}(A_l \circ_k B) = \mathrm{Det}(A)\mathrm{Det}(B), \tag{1.6}$$

Our first aim of the present work is to introduce a notion of quantum hypermatrices and generalize the algebra $\mathrm{Mat}_q(n)$ of quantum matrices to that of quantum hypermatrices. We will construct a quantum deformation of the Cayley's hyperdetermiant for any hypermatrix $A = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m})$, where $a_{i_1 \dots i_m}$ are not commutative in general, and show that the new determinant satisfies a q-deformed identity of (1.4). In [**Ma1, Ma2**], Manin showed that the quantum matrix ring $\mathrm{Mat}_q(n)$ can be formulated as the quantum transformations that preserve the quantum exterior algebra and the quantum Weyl algebra.

To generalize Manin's idea we first show that the quantum Weyl algebra can be replaced by the quantum exterior algebra provided that one imposes the invariance for the dual quantum transformation A^T. This idea then works well for quantum hypermatrices when we require that all matrix realignments are transformed according to the rule of the quantum exterior algebra. We show that these transformation rules provide enough quantum symmetry to warrant that the hyperdeterminant is a quantum volume element.

The second aim is to generalize the notion of Pfaffians to higher dimensional cases for the quantum hypermatrices. We will introduce the notion of anti-symmetric hypermatrices and quantum hyper-Pfaffian, and prove that the quantum hyper-Pfaffian is given by a special volume element of quantum 2-form.

One important property and new feature of our quantum hyperdeterminants is that we are able to define them for any dimensional hypermatrices, removing the restriction that Cayley's hyperdeterminant is only defined for even dimensional (cf. [**L1, L2**]). Even more interesting is the fact that our hyperdeterminant also works for $q = 1$ for noncommutative Manin-type hypermatrices. These hyperdeterminants at odd dimension will only vanish

when the matrix elements are commutative. This is in complete agreement with the general phenomena that classical singularity is often better regularized at the level of quantum deformation.

We remark that our guiding principle is to try to find minimum defining relations to ensure both quantum hyperdeterminants and quantum hyper-Pfaffians work. In other words, the relations that we have found will ensure that the diamond lemma is satisfied to rearrange the products in the determinant and Pfaffians.

The quantum hyper-Pfaffian generalizes the quantum Pfaffian studied in [**JZ**], which can be viewed as the quantum Pfaffian for matrices, while our current quantum Pfaffians are defined for hyper-matrices. Some of the classical situation have been considered in [**Re**]. We stress that the current version of quantum hyper-Pfaffians is different from that of the quantum hyper-Pfaffian in [**JZ**], which was defined in terms of higher degree q-forms. The current quantum hyper-Pfaffian is defined as the Pfaffian element for quantum hyper-matrices.

2. Quantum monoids

2.1. Quantum exterior algebras. Let q be a non-zero complex number. The quantum exterior algebra $\Lambda_n = \Lambda$ is the quadratic algebra generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ over the field F subject to the following relations:

$$x_j \wedge x_i = -q x_i \wedge x_j, \tag{2.1}$$

$$x_i \wedge x_i = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

where $i < j$. The algebra Λ is naturally $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$-graded and decomposes itself:

$$\Lambda = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n} \Lambda^k, \tag{2.3}$$

where the kth homogeneous subspace Λ^k is spanned by $x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k}$, $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$. So $dim(\Lambda) = 2^n$.

The quantum monoid $\mathrm{Mat}_q(n)$ is the unital bialgebra generated by a_{ij} subject to the following quadratic relations:

$$a_{ik}a_{il} = q a_{il}a_{ik}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$a_{ik}a_{jk} = q a_{jk}a_{ik}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$a_{jk}a_{il} = a_{il}a_{jk}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$a_{ik}a_{jl} - a_{jl}a_{ik} = (q - q^{-1}) a_{il}a_{jk}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $i < j$ and $k < l$. The coproduct is given by

$$\Delta(a_{ij}) = \sum_k a_{ik} \otimes a_{kj}. \tag{2.8}$$

One can use the quantum exterior algebra to give a conceptual representation of the quadratic relations in the quantum monoid. We consider the tensor product $\mathrm{Mat}_q(n) \otimes \Lambda_n$, where we require that x_k's and a_{ij}'s commute.

We will simply write ax for the tensor product $a \otimes x \in A \otimes \Lambda$, and the wedge products are similarly written as follows.

$$(ax) \wedge (by) = ab(x \wedge y).$$

Thus $x_k a_{ij} = a_{ij} x_k$ for any $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$. The following is a reformulation of Manin's result [**Ma1, Ma2**].

PROPOSITION 2.1. *The defining relations of the generators a_{ij} for the quantum monoid $\mathrm{Mat}_q(n)$ are equivalent to the following rule: suppose that x_i's obey the relations (2.1)-(2.2), then $y_i = \sum_k a_{ik} x_k$ and $y_i' = \sum_k a_{ki} x_k$ both satisfy the relations (2.1)-(2.2).*

2.2. Generalized quantum monoids. We now generalize $\mathrm{Mat}_q(n)$ to higher dimensional quantum hypermatrices. For natural number n we denote the index set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ by $[1, n]$. Fix $m, n \geq 1$. Let $A = (a_{i_1 \ldots i_m})$ be a hypermatrix of format $n \times \cdots \times n = n^m$. We will use the matrix realignment to simplify our representation. For each hypermatrix A of format n^m, one associates m realigned or unfolding rectangular matrices $A^{(1)}, \ldots, A^{(m)}$. The kth matrix realignment $A^{(k)} = (a^{(k)}_{i\alpha})$ is a $n \times n^{m-1}$-rectangular matrix with entries

$$a^{(k)}_{i\alpha} = a_{j_1 \ldots j_{k-1} i j_{k+1} \ldots j_m}, \qquad \alpha = (j_1 \ldots j_{k-1} j_{k+1} \ldots j_m). \tag{2.9}$$

Here, $i \in [1, n]$ and α runs through the set $[1, n]^{m-1}$ in the lexicographic order. For example, the $2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2$ hypermatrix A has the following unfoldings with respect to the second and third indices:

$$A^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1111} & a_{1112} & a_{1121} & a_{1122} & a_{2111} & a_{2112} & a_{2121} & a_{2122} \\ a_{1211} & a_{1212} & a_{1221} & a_{1222} & a_{2211} & a_{2212} & a_{2221} & a_{2222} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.10}$$

$$A^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1111} & a_{1112} & a_{1211} & a_{1212} & a_{2111} & a_{2112} & a_{2211} & a_{2212} \\ a_{1121} & a_{1122} & a_{1221} & a_{1222} & a_{2121} & a_{2122} & a_{2221} & a_{2222} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.11}$$

We consider the n^{m-1}-dimensional column vector $X = [x_\alpha]$ with entries $x_\alpha = x_{\alpha_1} \otimes x_{\alpha_2} \otimes \cdots\cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_{m-1}} \in (\Lambda^1)^{\otimes m-1}$, $\alpha_k \in [1, n]$. Here, the entries of X are also ordered in the lexicographic order. For example, if $m = n = 2$,

$$X = (x_{111}, x_{112}, x_{121}, x_{122}, x_{211}, x_{212}, x_{221}, x_{222})^t.$$

In the following, we assume $q^2 \neq -1$. Suppose $a_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m}$ commute with the x_i. Define $x_i^{(k)}$'s by

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1^{(k)} \\ x_2^{(k)} \\ \cdots \\ x_n^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} = A^{(k)} X. \tag{2.12}$$

In other words, $x_i^{(k)} = \sum_\alpha a^{(k)}_{i\alpha} x_\alpha$. For any subset $J = \{j_1, \ldots, j_r\} \subset [1, n]$ we denote $x_J = x_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{j_r}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the q-number $[n]_q$ by

$$[n]_q = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1} \tag{2.13}$$

and the quantum factorial $[n]_q! = [1]_q[2]_q \cdots [n]_q$.

We remark that x_α is an element from the tensor product $(\Lambda^1)^{\otimes(m-1)}$, while x_J represents an element in the quantum Weyl algebra Λ. Since the subset J has no repetition no confusion will arise in general. When we consider products of x_α's, we will use multi-components as superscripts to distinguish the elements.

THEOREM 2.2. *The following two statements are equivalent.*
(i) $x_j^{(k)}x_i^{(k)} = -qx_i^{(k)}x_j^{(k)}$ *for* $i < j$, $x_i^{(k)}x_i^{(k)} = 0$.
(ii) For any fixed $J = I_1 \times \cdots \times I_{m-1} \subset [1,n]^{m-1}$ *with* $|I_i| = 2$ *we have that*

$$\sum_{\alpha \sqcup \beta = J} (-q)^{inv(\alpha,\beta)} a_{i\alpha}^{(k)} a_{i\beta}^{(k)} = 0, \tag{2.14}$$

$$\sum_{\alpha \sqcup \beta = J} (-q)^{inv(\alpha,\beta)} a_{j\alpha}^{(k)} a_{i\beta}^{(k)} = -q \sum_{\alpha \sqcup \beta = J} (-q)^{inv(\alpha,\beta)} a_{i\alpha}^{(k)} a_{j\beta}^{(k)}, \tag{2.15}$$

where $inv(\alpha,\beta) = |\{(i_s > j_s) | \alpha = (i_1 \dots i_{m-1}), \beta = (j_1 \dots j_{m-1})\}|$, *the total number of inversions from the natural ordering of* J.

PROOF. Note that

$$x_i^{(k)}x_j^{(k)} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} a_{i\alpha}^{(k)} a_{j\beta}^{(k)} x_\alpha x_\beta = \sum_J \Big(\sum_{\alpha \sqcup \beta = J} (-q)^{inv(\alpha,\beta)} a_{i\alpha}^{(k)} a_{j\beta}^{(k)} \Big) x_J$$

where $J = \{i_1, j_1\} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \{i_{m-1}, j_{m-1}\}$ is written in the natural lexicographic order. Then the two relations are obtained by comparing coefficients. □

Let $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{A}_q^{[m]}$ be the associative algebra generated by $a_{i_1 \dots i_m}$, $1 \le i_k \le n$, subject to the relations (2.14-2.15). We arrange the generators into a hypermatrix $A = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m})$ of format $n \times \cdots \times n = n^m$, and often call $\mathscr{A}$ a quantum hypermatrix for simplicity.

When $q^2 \neq -1$, by subtracting two relations in Theorem 2.2 (ii) we obtain that for a fixed product I of 2-element subsets in $[1,n]^{m-1}$ and any s,t and $i < j$, $k < l$

$$\sum_{\alpha \sqcup \beta = J} (-q)^{inv(\alpha,\beta)} a_{i\alpha}^{(s)} a_{j\beta}^{(s)} = \sum_{\alpha' \sqcup \beta' = J} (-q)^{inv(\alpha',\beta')} a_{k\alpha'}^{(t)} a_{l\beta'}^{(t)}. \tag{2.16}$$

Under the assumption that $q^2 \neq -1$, using $(2.14 - 2.16)$ we can derive that for any k,l

$$x_1^{(k)}x_2^{(k)} \cdots x_n^{(k)} = x_1^{(l)}x_2^{(l)} \cdots x_n^{(l)}. \tag{2.17}$$

From now on we impose no condition on q and consider arbitrary q. We list two simple properties of the quantum algebra $\mathscr{A}$. First, it is straightforward to verify that $f^{(k)} : \Lambda \to (\mathscr{A}) \otimes \Lambda^{\otimes m-1}$ given by $x_i \mapsto x_i^{(k)}$ defines an algebra homomorphism.

Second, the symmetric group S_m acts on the algebra $\mathscr{A}$ via

$$\sigma a_{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_m} = a_{i_{\sigma^{-1}(1)},i_{\sigma^{-1}(2)},\dots,i_{\sigma^{-1}(m)}}. \tag{2.18}$$

This can be easily seen as $(\sigma\sigma')a_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_m} = \sigma(\sigma' a_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_m})$. We denote the homomorphism by $\Theta : S_m \longrightarrow Aut(\mathscr{A})$. This property generalizes the duality of rows and columns in the usual quantum monoid $\mathrm{Mat}_q(n)$.

3. Quantum hyperdeterminants

3.1. Quantum determinant. We recall how the quantum determinant $\det_q(A)$ is defined via a quantum volume element. Let y_i be defined as in Prop. 2.4, then

$$y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_n = \det_q(A) x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n. \tag{3.1}$$

Using the quantum exterior relations [**JZ**], $\det_q$ is explicitly given by

$$\det_q(A) = \sum_{\sigma\in S_n} (-q)^{\ell(\sigma)} a_{1\sigma(1)} a_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)} \tag{3.2}$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma\in S_n} (-q)^{\ell(\sigma)} a_{\sigma(1)1} a_{\sigma(2)2} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)n}. \tag{3.3}$$

where $\ell(\sigma)$ is the number of inversions made by the permutation σ. Here, the two expressions are respectively called the row determinant and column determinant.

Let B be another $n \times n$-quantum matrix such that its entries commute with those of A. Then

$$\det_q(AB) = \det_q(A)\det_q(B). \tag{3.4}$$

We remark that this holds even if B is an ordinary permutation matrix, i.e., Eq. (3.4) contains the property that $\det_q(A)$ becomes $(-q)\det_q(A)$ when two rows (column) are interchanged.

3.2. Quantum hyperdeterminants. We now define the quantum analog of Cayley's first hyperdeterminant by (when q is generic)

$$\mathrm{Det}_q^{[m]}(\mathscr{A}) = \frac{1}{[n]_{q^2}!} \sum_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_m\in S_n} (-q)^{\sum_{i=1}^m \ell(\sigma_i)} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{\sigma_1(i),\sigma_2(i),\ldots,\sigma_m(i)}. \tag{3.5}$$

The quantum hyperdeterminant is invariant under the action of S_m:

$$\sigma\mathrm{Det}_q^{[m]}(\mathscr{A}) = \mathrm{Det}_q^{[m]}(\mathscr{A}) \tag{3.6}$$

for any $\sigma \in S_m$. This invariance generalizes the usual property that $\det_q(A) = \det_q(A^T)$. Sometimes we will also use $\mathrm{Det}_q(A)$ to denote the quantum hyperdeterminant if there is no confusion.

Example 3.1. The hyperdeterminant of $A = (a_{ijk})$ of format 2^3 is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Det}_q = \frac{1}{[2]_{q^2}}&(a_{111}a_{222} - qa_{211}a_{122} - qa_{121}a_{212} - qa_{112}a_{221}\\ &+ q^2a_{221}a_{112} + q^2a_{212}a_{121} + q^2a_{122}a_{211} - q^3a_{222}a_{111})\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

which is not 0 at $q = 1$ in general. However, when all entries a_{ijk} commute with each other, one has that

$$\mathrm{Det}_q = \frac{(1-q)}{[2]_{q^2}}([3]a_{111}a_{222} - qa_{211}a_{122} - qa_{121}a_{212} - qa_{112}a_{221}). \tag{3.8}$$

Therefore $\mathrm{Det}_1 = 0$. In fact, when all entries are commutative, the classical Cayley's first hyperdeterminant vanishes at odd dimension, which was why Cayley only defined the hyperdeterminant for hypermatrices at even dimension.

Consider now the algebra $A^{\otimes 2m}$. Let φ be the linear map : $\mathscr{A} \longrightarrow A^{\otimes 2m}$ defined by

$$\varphi(a_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_{2m}}) = a_{i_1,i_2} \otimes a_{i_3,i_4} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i_{2m-1},i_{2m}}. \tag{3.9}$$

Then the following result can be easily seen.

Proposition 3.2. *The map φ is an algebra homomorphism and*

$$\varphi \mathrm{Det}_q^{[2m]}(\mathscr{A}) = ([n]_{q^2}!)^{2m-1}\mathrm{det}_q(A)^{\otimes 2m}. \tag{3.10}$$

Moreover for any $\sigma \in S_m$ one has that $\varphi\sigma \in Hom(\mathscr{A}, A^{\otimes 2m})$.

For a fixed $k \in [1, m]$, let $\eta_i^{(k)} = \sum_\alpha a_{i\alpha}^{(k)} x_i \otimes x_\alpha = x_i \otimes x_i^{(k)}$, then $\eta_j^{(k)}\eta_i^{(k)} = q^2\eta_i^{(k)}\eta_j^{(k)}$ for $i < j$ by using Theorem 2.2. Consider $\Omega_k = \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{(k)}$, we have

$$\wedge^n \Omega_k = [n]_{q^2}!\eta_1^{(k)} \wedge \eta_2^{(k)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta_n^{(k)}, \tag{3.11}$$

where $[n]_{q^2}! = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} q^{2\ell(\sigma)}$.

Writing out the exterior product, we have that

$$\wedge^n \Omega_k = [n]_{q^2}!\mathrm{Det}_q^{[m]}(\mathscr{A})(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n)^{\otimes m}. \tag{3.12}$$

Therefore

$$\eta_1^{(k)} \wedge \eta_2^{(k)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta_n^{(k)} = \mathrm{Det}_q^{[m]}(\mathscr{A})(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n)^{\otimes m}. \tag{3.13}$$

On the other hand, an explicit computation gives that

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta_1^{(k)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta_n^{(k)} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma_i \in S_n, i \neq k} (-q)^{\sum_{i\neq k}^n \ell(\sigma_i)} \prod_{i=1}^m a_{\sigma_1(i)\ldots\hat{\sigma}_k(i)\ldots\sigma_m(i)}(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n)^{\otimes m}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_k$ means omission of σ_k at the place (or taking $\sigma_k = 1$). So we also have for any fixed k

$$\mathrm{Det}_q^{[m]}(\mathscr{A}) = \sum_{\sigma_i \in S_n, i \neq k} (-q)^{\sum_{i\neq k}^m \ell(\sigma_i)} \prod_{j=1}^m a_{\sigma_1(j)\ldots\hat{\sigma}_k(j)\ldots\sigma_m(j)}, \tag{3.15}$$

which can be used to define the quantum hyperdeterminant for any q.

Let $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_m$ be m subsets of $[1,n]$ with $|I_k| = r$. The quantum r-minor hyperdeterminants are defined as

$$\xi(I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_m) = \sum_{(\sigma_2,\ldots,\sigma_m)\in S_r^{m-1}} (-q)^{\sum_{i=2}^m \ell(\sigma_i)} \prod_{i=1}^r a_{i,\sigma_2(i),\ldots,\sigma_m(i)}, \tag{3.16}$$

where $S_r^{m-1} = S_r \times \cdots \times S_r \leq S_{\{r+1,\ldots,2r,\ldots,(m-1)r+1\ldots,rm\}}$, the canonical Young subgroup, i.e., the jth factor S_r consists of permutations of letters $(j-1)r+1, \ldots, jr$.

For m, l we can also write the generators of $\mathscr{A}^{[m+l]}$ as $a_{\alpha\beta}$, where $\alpha \in [1,n]^m$ and $\beta \in [1,n]^l$. More generally we can use any composition of m to parametrize the generators of $\mathscr{A}$. In particular matrix realignments of $\mathscr{A}^{[m]}$ are such examples. The following result is proved by direct computation.

THEOREM 3.3. *The following map is an algebra homomorphism*

$$\mathscr{A}^{[m+l]} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathscr{A}^{[m+1]} \otimes \mathscr{A}^{[1+l]}, \tag{3.17}$$

$$\Delta(a_{\alpha\beta}) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{\alpha j} \otimes a_{j\beta}. \tag{3.18}$$

Moreover, we have an analogous Laplace expansion:

$$\Delta(\xi(I \times J)) = \sum_K \xi(I \times K) \otimes \xi(K \times J). \tag{3.19}$$

In particular,

$$\Delta(\mathrm{Det}_q(\mathscr{A}_{m+l})) = \mathrm{Det}_q(\mathscr{A}_{m+1}) \otimes \mathrm{Det}_q(\mathscr{A}_{l+1}). \tag{3.20}$$

PROOF. For fixed i, write the running indices $\alpha = \alpha_1\alpha_2$ and $\beta = \beta_1\beta_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\alpha,\beta} (-q)^{inv(\alpha,\beta)} \Delta a_{i\alpha} \Delta a_{i\beta} \\
&= \sum_{\alpha,\beta,j,j'} (-q)^{inv(\alpha,\beta)} (a_{i\alpha_1 j} \otimes a_{j\alpha_2})(a_{i\beta_1 j'} \otimes a^{(k)}_{j'\beta_2}) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha,\beta,j,j'} (-q)^{inv(\alpha_1,\beta_1)+inv(\alpha_2,\beta_2)+inv(j,j')} a^{(k)}_{i\alpha_1 j} a_{i\beta_1 j'} \otimes a_{j\alpha_2} a_{j'\beta_2}
\end{aligned}$$

If $j = j'$, by definition $\sum_{\alpha_2,\beta_2} (-q)^{inv(\alpha_2,\beta_2)} a_{j\alpha_2} a_{j'\beta_2} = 0$. If $j \neq j'$, one has that $\sum_{\alpha_1,\beta_1,j\neq j'} (-q)^{inv(\alpha_1,\beta_1)+inv(j,j')} a_{i\alpha_1 j} a_{i\beta_1 j'} = 0$. Thus for each fixed i

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta} (-q)^{inv(\alpha,\beta)} \Delta a_{i\alpha} \Delta a_{i\beta} = 0. \tag{3.21}$$

Similarly one can prove that $\sum_{\alpha,\beta} (-q)^{inv(\alpha,\beta)} \Delta a^{(k)}_{i\alpha} \Delta a^{(k)}_{i\beta} = 0$ for any k and $\sum_{\alpha\sqcup\beta=J} (-q)^{inv(\alpha,\beta)} \Delta a^{(k)}_{j\alpha} \Delta a^{(k)}_{i\beta} = -q \sum_{\alpha\sqcup\beta=J} (-q)^{inv(\alpha,\beta)} \Delta a^{(k)}_{i\alpha} \Delta a^{(k)}_{j\beta}$. Thus

Δ is an algebra homomorphism. Moreover, we have that

$$\Delta\xi(I\times J)=\frac{1}{[n]_{q^2}!}\sum_{\sigma}(-q)^{\sum_{i=1}^{m}\ell(\sigma_i)}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{j=1}^{n}a_{\sigma_1(i),\ldots,\sigma_m(i),j}\otimes a_{j,\sigma_m(i),\ldots,\sigma_{m+l1}(i)}$$

$$=\frac{1}{[n]_{q^2}!}\sum_{\sigma}(-q)^{\sum_{i=1}^{m}\ell(\sigma_i)}\prod_{i=1}^{r}a_{\sigma_1(i),\ldots,\sigma_m(i),j_r}\otimes\prod_{i=1}^{r}a_{j_r,\sigma_m(i),\ldots,\sigma_{m+l1}(i)} \tag{3.22}$$

where the summation runs over all $\sigma=(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_{m+l})\in S_r^{m+l}$ and $j_1,\ldots,j_r\in[1,n]$. For distinct $j_1,\ldots,j_r$, one has that

$$\sum(-q)^{\sum_{i=m+1}^{m+l}\ell(\sigma_i)}\prod_{i=1}^{r}a_{j_r,\sigma_{m+1}(i),\ldots,\sigma_{m+l}(i)}=(-q)^{inv(j_1,\ldots,j_r)}\xi(K\times J),$$

where $K=\{j_1,\ldots,j_r\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta\xi(I\times J)\\ =&\frac{1}{[n]_{q^2}!}\sum(-q)^{\sum_{i=1}^{m}\ell(\sigma_i)+inv(j_1,\ldots,j_r)}\prod_{i=1}^{r}a_{\sigma_1(i),\ldots,\sigma_m(i),j_i}\otimes\xi(K\times J)\\ =&\sum_{K}\xi(I\times K)\otimes\xi(K\times J),\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

where K runs over all subsets K of $[1,n]$ such that $|K|=r$.

Let $I\times J=[1,n]^{m+l}$, one has that

$$\Delta(\mathrm{Det}_q(\mathscr{A}_{m+l}))=\mathrm{Det}_q(\mathscr{A}_{m+1})\otimes\mathrm{Det}_q(\mathscr{A}_{l+1}). \tag{3.24}$$

□

3.3. Laplace expansions of q-hyperdeterminants and Plücker relations. As in the classical case the quantum hyperdeterminant can also be expanded into a sum of quantum hyper-minors and the complement hyper-minors. The Laplace expansion is also proved by the exterior products. In the following we only state the results for the first folding, while it is obvious that there are similarly m ways to expand just like there are two equivalent ways to expand in rows and columns for the rectangle matrices.

The following generalizes a result of [**TT**] and [**KL**].

Proposition 3.4. *For any $1\le j_1,\ldots,j_m\le n$, one has*

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\sigma_2,\ldots,\sigma_m\in S_n}(-q)^{\sum_{i=2}^{m}\ell(\sigma_i)}\prod_{i=1}^{m}a_{j_i,\sigma_2(i),\ldots,\sigma_m(i)}\\ &=\begin{cases}0, & \text{if two } j_k\text{'s coinside},\\ (-q)^{\ell(\pi)}\mathrm{Det}_q^{[m]}(\mathscr{A}) & \text{if } j_k\text{'s are distinct},\end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

where $\pi=\begin{pmatrix}1&2&\ldots&m\\ j_1&j_2&\cdots&j_m\end{pmatrix}$.

PROOF. In the first folding, we simply write $x_j^{(1)} = \omega_j$. It is clear that $\omega_{j_1} \wedge \omega_{j_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{j_n} = 0$ whenever two indices coincide. For any permutation π one has that

$$\omega_{\pi_1} \wedge \omega_{\pi_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{\pi_n} = (-q)^{\ell(\pi)} \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_n. \tag{3.26}$$

For any composition $(j_1 j_2 \dots j_n)$ we can compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\omega_{j_1} \wedge \omega_{j_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{j_n} \\
&= (-q)^{\ell(\pi)} \sum_{\sigma_2,\dots,\sigma_m \in S_n} (-q)^{\sum_{i=2}^m \ell(\sigma_i)} \prod_{i=1}^m a_{j_i,\sigma_2(i),\dots,\sigma_m(i)} (x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n)^{\otimes m-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

So the proposition is proved. □

Now we discuss the Laplace expansion of the quantum hyperdeterminant. We first choose r indices $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r$ from $1, 2, \dots, n$ and let the remaining ones be $i_{r+1} < i_{r+2} < \cdots < i_n$. We have

$$\omega_{i_1} \wedge \omega_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{i_n} = (-q)^{(i_1+\cdots+i_r - \frac{r(r+1)}{2})} \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_n \tag{3.27}$$

since $\omega_j \wedge \omega_i = -q\omega_i \wedge \omega_j$, if $i < j$. For any r-element subset J, we define $\ell(J) = \sum_{i\in I} i - |J|(|J|+1)/2$.

Now let $I_1, \dots, I_m$ be r-element subsets of $[1, n]$, then $I_i' = [1, n] - I_i$ are $(n-r)$-element subsets. We compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\omega_{i_1} \wedge \omega_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{i_n} \\
=&(\omega_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge w_{i_r}) \wedge (w_{i_{r+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{i_n}) \\
=& \sum_{I_2,\dots,I_m \in P_n} \xi(I_1, \dots I_m) x_{I_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{I_r} \wedge \xi(I_1' \dots I_m') x_{I_1'} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{I_m'} \\
=& \sum_{I_2,\dots,I_m \in P_n} (-q)^{\sum_{j=2}^m \ell(I_j)} \xi(I_1, \dots I_m) \xi(I_1' \dots I_m') (x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n)^{\otimes m-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

By comparing two equations it follows that

PROPOSITION 3.5. *For any r-element subsets $I_1, \dots, I_m$ of $[1, n]$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Det}_q^{[m]}(\mathscr{A}) = \sum_{I_2,\dots,I_m \in P_n} (-q)^{\sum_{j=2}^m \ell(I_j) - \ell(I_1)} \xi(I_1, \dots I_m) \xi(I_1' \dots I_m') \tag{3.29}$$

Let $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$ be an n-element subset of $[1, 2n]$ such that $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_n$. We define the permutation σ_K to be the permutation $k_1 \dots k_n k_1' \dots k_n'$ of S_{2n}, where $K' = [1, 2n] - K = \{k_1', \dots, k_n'\}$ such that $k_1' < k_2' < \dots < k_n'$, i.e. σ_K is a shuffle.

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Let K_1 be an n-element subset of $[1, 2n]$ such that its elements $i_k = k$ for $1 \le k \le r < n$, $i_{r+1} < i_{r+2} < \cdots < i_n$. Let $K_2, \dots, K_m$*

be arbitrary n-element subsets of $[1,2n]$. Then

$$\sum_{I_k}(-q)^{\sum_{t=2}^m \ell(\sigma_t)}\xi(I,K_2,\dots,K_m)\xi(I,K_2',\dots,K_m')=0, \tag{3.30}$$

$$\sum_{I_k}(-q)^{-\sum_{t=2}^m \ell(\sigma_t)}\xi(I,K_2',\dots,I_m')\xi(I,K_2,\dots,K_m)=0, \tag{3.31}$$

where $\sigma_t=\sigma_{K_t}$, the permutation associated with K_t.

Proof. For any $i,r\in I=[1,n]$, let

$$\omega_i=\sum_\alpha a_{i\alpha}x_\alpha=\sum_{i_2,\dots,i_m=1}^n a_{i,i_2,\dots,i_m}x_{i_2}\otimes\cdots\otimes x_{i_m}, \tag{3.32}$$

$$\omega_{ir}=\sum_\beta a_{i\beta}x_\beta=\sum_{i_2=1}^r\sum_{i_3,\dots,i_m=1}^n a_{i,i_2,\dots,i_m}x_{i_2}\otimes\cdots\otimes x_{i_m}, \tag{3.33}$$

and let $\omega_{ir}'=\omega_i-\omega_{ir}$. Since the second running index is from 1 to r ($r<n$), one has that $\omega_{1r}\wedge\cdots\wedge\omega_{nr}=0$. Also it is easy to see that for any $i<j$

$$\omega_{jr}\wedge\omega_{ir}=-q\omega_{ir}\wedge\omega_{jr},\qquad \omega_{jr}'\wedge\omega_{ir}'=-q\omega_{ir}'\wedge\omega_{jr}', \tag{3.34}$$

$$\omega_{ir}'\wedge\omega_{jr}=-q\omega_{jr}\wedge\omega_{ir}', \tag{3.35}$$

$$\omega_i\wedge\omega_{jr}=-\omega_{jr}\wedge(q^{-1}\omega_{ir}+q\omega_{ir}'). \tag{3.36}$$

Now for $r<n$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\omega_1\wedge\omega_2\wedge\cdots\wedge\omega_n\wedge\omega_{1r}'\wedge\cdots\wedge\omega_{nr}'\\ =&(\omega_{1r}+\omega_{1r}')\wedge\cdots\wedge(\omega_{nr}+\omega_{nr}')\wedge\omega_{1r}'\wedge\cdots\wedge\omega_{nr}'\\ =&\omega_{1r}\wedge\cdots\wedge\omega_{nr}\wedge\omega_{1r}'\wedge\cdots\wedge\omega_{nr}'=0\end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}&\omega_1\wedge\omega_2\wedge\cdots\wedge\omega_n\wedge\omega_{1r}'\wedge\cdots\wedge\omega_{nr}'\\ =&\sum_{K_t}(-q)^{\sum_{t=2}^m \ell(\sigma_t)}\xi(I,K_2,\dots,K_m)\xi(I,K_2',\dots,K_m')(x_1\wedge\cdots\wedge x_{2n})^{\otimes(m-1)}\end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

Comparing Eqs. (3.37) and (3.38), we prove the first equation. The second equation follows similarly from (3.38). □

Proposition 3.7. *Under the same hypothesis of Prop. 3.6 one has that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{K_t}(-q)^{\sum_{t=2}^m \ell(\sigma_t)}\xi(I',K_2,\dots,K_m)\xi(I,K_2',\dots,K_m')\\ =&(-q)^{n^2-2nr}\sum_{K_t}(-q)^{\sum_{t=2}^m n^2-\ell(\sigma_t)}\xi(I,K_2',\dots,K_m')\xi(I',K_2,\dots,K_m).\end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

PROOF. It is clear that for any $i < j$

$$\omega_j \wedge \omega'_{ir} = \omega'_{ir} \wedge ((-q)^{-1}\omega_{jr} + (-q)\omega'_{jr}).$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\omega_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{2n} \wedge \omega'_{1r} \wedge \omega'_{2r} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega'_{nr} \\
=&\omega'_{1r} \wedge \omega'_{2r} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega'_{nr} \wedge ((-q)^{-n}\omega_{n+1,r} + (-q)^n\omega'_{n+1,r}) \\
&\qquad \wedge \cdots \wedge ((-q)^{-n}\omega_{2n,r} + (-q)^n\omega'_{2n,r}) \\
=&(-q)^{n^2}\omega'_{1r} \wedge \omega'_{2r} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega'_{nr} \wedge ((-q)^{-2n}\omega_{n+1,r} + \omega'_{n+1,r}) \wedge \cdots \\
&\qquad \wedge ((-q)^{-2n}\omega_{2n,r} + \omega'_{2n,r}) \\
=&(-q)^{n^2-2nr}\omega'_{1r} \wedge \omega'_{2r} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega'_{nr} \wedge \omega_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{2n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

On the other hand, we can expand the wedge product of $\omega' s$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\omega_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{2n} \wedge \omega'_1 \wedge \omega'_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega'_n \\
=&\sum_{K_t} (-q)^{\sum_{t=2}^m \ell(\sigma_t)} \xi(I', K_2, \ldots, K_m)\xi(I, K'_2, \ldots, K'_m)(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{2n})^{\otimes m-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\omega'_1 \wedge \omega'_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega'_n \wedge \omega_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{2n} \\
=&\sum_{K_t} (-q)^{\sum_{t=2}^m n^2-\ell(\sigma_t)} \xi(I, K'_2, \ldots, K'_m)\xi(I', K_2, \ldots, K_m)(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{2n})^{\otimes m-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

Comparing Eqs. (3.40-3.42), we obtain the proposition. □

3.4. Coaction of A on $\mathscr{A}$. We can directly verify that $\mathscr{A}$ has a left A-comodule structure given by

$$L_G^{(k)}(a_{i\alpha}^{(k)}) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \otimes a_{j\alpha}^{(k)}. \tag{3.43}$$

Moreover L_A is an algebra homomorphism, so $\mathscr{A}$ is a A-comodule-algebra. Similarly, $\mathscr{A}$ has a right A-comodule-algebra structure given by

$$R_G^{(k)}(a_{i\alpha}^{(k)}) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{j\alpha}^{(k)} \otimes a_{ji}. \tag{3.44}$$

Here the quantum algebra $\mathrm{Mat}_q(n)$ is endowed with the comultiplication $\Delta(a_{ij}) = \sum_l a_{il} \otimes a_{lj}$. The following result follows easily from definition.

PROPOSITION 3.8. *For any $\sigma \in S_m$*

$$(1 \otimes \sigma)L_G^{(k)}\sigma^{-1} = L_G^{(\sigma(k))}, \tag{3.45}$$

$$(\sigma \otimes 1)R_G^{(k)}\sigma^{-1} = R_G^{(\sigma(k))}. \tag{3.46}$$

Due to this symmetry, we will be focused on $k = 1$ for L_G and $k = m$ for R_G in the remaining part. If $\xi(I_1 \dots I_m)$ is an r-minor hyperdeterminant, it is easy to verify that

$$L_G(\xi(I_1 \dots I_m)) = \sum_{|J|=r} \xi_J^{I_1} \otimes \xi(J, I_2, \dots, I_m), \tag{3.47}$$

$$R_G(\xi(I_1 \dots I_m)) = \sum_{|J|=r} \xi(I_1, \dots, I_{m-1}, J) \otimes \xi_{I_m}^{J}. \tag{3.48}$$

In particular, if $I_1 = I_2 = \ldots = I_m = [1, n]$, we have that $L_G(\mathrm{Det}_q(\mathscr{A})) = \det_q(A) \otimes \mathrm{Det}_q(\mathscr{A})$, and $R_G(\mathrm{Det}_q(\mathscr{A})) = \mathrm{Det}_q(\mathscr{A}) \otimes \det_q(A)$.

We recall the connection between the quantum group $\mathrm{GL}_q(n)$ and the quantum universal enveloping algebra $U_q(gl(n))$ or rather $U_q(sl(n))$ [**J, FRT, NYM, JR**]. Let P be the free $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice of rank n with the canonical basis $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$, i.e. $P = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_i$, endowed with the symmetric bilinear form $\langle \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. Now we define $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ as the associative algebra with generators $e_i, f_i (1 \le i \le n)$ and $q^\lambda (\lambda \in \frac{1}{2}P)$ with the following relations:

$$q^0 = 1, q^\lambda q^\mu = q^{\lambda+\mu} \quad (\lambda, \mu \in \frac{1}{2}P), \tag{3.49}$$

$$q^\lambda e_k q^{-\lambda} = q^{\langle \lambda, \varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1} \rangle} e_k \quad (\lambda \in \frac{1}{2}P, 1 \le k \le n), \tag{3.50}$$

$$q^\lambda f_k q^{-\lambda} = q^{-\langle \lambda, \varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1} \rangle} f_k \quad (\lambda \in \frac{1}{2}P, 1 \le k \le n), \tag{3.51}$$

$$e_i f_j - f_j e_i = \delta_{ij} \frac{q^{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}} - q^{-\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_{i+1}}}{q - q^{-1}} \quad (1 \le i, j < n), \tag{3.52}$$

$$e_i^2 e_j - (q + q^{-1}) e_i e_j e_i + e_j e_i^2 = 0 \quad (|i - j| = 1), \tag{3.53}$$

$$f_i^2 f_j - (q + q^{-1}) f_i f_j f_i + f_j f_i^2 = 0 \quad (|i - j| = 1), \tag{3.54}$$

$$e_i e_j = e_j e_i, f_i f_j = f_j f_i \quad (|i - j| > 1). \tag{3.55}$$

This algebra also has a structure of Hopf algebra with the following coproduct Δ, counit ε, and antipode S:

$$\Delta(q^\lambda) = q^\lambda \otimes q^\lambda, \varepsilon(q^\lambda) = 1, S(q^\lambda) = q^{-\lambda}, \tag{3.56}$$

$$\Delta(e_k) = e_k \otimes q^{-(\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1})/2} + q^{-(\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1})/2} \otimes e_k, \tag{3.57}$$

$$\varepsilon(e_k) = 0, S(e_k) = q^{-1} e_k, \tag{3.58}$$

$$\Delta(f_k) = f_k \otimes q^{-(\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1})/2} + q^{-(\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1})/2} \otimes f_k, \tag{3.59}$$

$$\varepsilon(f_k) = 0, S(f_k) = -q f_k. \tag{3.60}$$

For any fixed k, there is a unique pairing of Hopf algebras

$$(\ ,\ ) : U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathrm{GL}_q(n) \to \mathbb{C} \tag{3.61}$$

satisfying the following relations

$$q^{\lambda}(a_{ij}) = \delta_{ij} q^{\langle \lambda, \varepsilon_i \rangle}, \tag{3.62}$$

$$e_k(a_{ij}) = \delta_{ik}\delta_{j,k+1}, f_k(a_{ij}) = \delta_{i,k+1}\delta_{j,k}, \tag{3.63}$$

$$q^{\lambda}(det_q(A)^t) = q^{t\langle \lambda, \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_n \rangle} \quad (t \in \mathbb{Z}), \tag{3.64}$$

$$e_k(det_q(A)^t) = f_k(det_q(A)^t) = 0 \quad (t \in \mathbb{Z}) \tag{3.65}$$

We can regard the element of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ as a linear function on $\mathrm{GL}_q(n)$. If V is a right $\mathrm{GL}_q(n) - comodule$ (resp. left $\mathrm{GL}_q(n) - comodule$) with structure map $L_G : V \to V \otimes \mathrm{GL}_q(n)$ (resp. $L_G : V \to \mathrm{GL}_q(n) \otimes V$), then V has a left(resp. right) module structure over $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ defined by

$$x.v = (id \otimes x)R_G(v) \ \ (resp.\ v.x = (x \otimes id)L_G(v)), \tag{3.66}$$

for all $x \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $v \in V$.

The algebra $\mathscr{A}$ becomes a bimodule for $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. The left action of its generators on $\mathscr{A}$ is described as follows:

$$q^{\lambda}.a_{\alpha i} = q^{\langle \lambda, \varepsilon_i \rangle} a_{\alpha i}, \tag{3.67}$$

$$e_k.a_{\alpha i} = \delta_{i,k+1} a_{\alpha, i-1}, \tag{3.68}$$

$$f_k.a_{\alpha i} = \delta_{ik} a_{\alpha, i+1}. \tag{3.69}$$

Similarly the right module action is given by

$$a_{i\alpha}.q^{\lambda} = q^{\langle \lambda, \varepsilon_i \rangle} a_{i\alpha}, \tag{3.70}$$

$$a_{i\alpha}.e_k = \delta_{ik} a_{i+1,\alpha}, \tag{3.71}$$

$$a_{i\alpha}.f_k = \delta_{i,k+1} a_{i-1,\alpha}. \tag{3.72}$$

If $x \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, $\varphi, \psi \in \mathscr{A}$, and $\Delta(x) = \sum x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}$ then one has $x.(\varphi\psi) = \sum (x_{(1)}.\varphi)(x_{(2)}.\psi)$ and $(\varphi\psi).x = \sum (\varphi.x_{(1)})(\psi.x_{(2)})$. In particular, $e_k.\mathrm{Det}_q(\mathscr{A}) = f_k.\mathrm{Det}_q(\mathscr{A}) = 0$, and $q^{\lambda}.\mathrm{Det}_q(\mathscr{A}) = q^{\langle \lambda, \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_n \rangle} \mathrm{Det}_q(\mathscr{A})$.

4. Quantum hyper-Pfaffians

The algebra $\mathscr{B}$ associated with the quantum hyper-antisymmetric matrices is the associative algebra generated by $b_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_{mk}}$, $1 \le i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{mk} \le kn$.

We define the quantum hyper-Pfaffian as follows.

(4.1)

$$\mathrm{Pf}_q^{[k,m]}(\mathscr{B})$$

$$= \frac{1}{[n]_{q^{k^2}}!} \sum_{\sigma} (-q)^{\ell(\sigma)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{\sigma_1((i-1)k+1)\ldots\sigma_1((i-1)k+k)\ldots\sigma_m((i-1)k+1)\ldots\sigma_m((i-1)k+k)},$$

where $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m) \in S_k^m$ and $\ell(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \ell(\sigma_i)$. The sum can also be viewed as running over the permutations of S_{km} such that $\sigma_j((i-1)k+1) < \cdots < \sigma_j((i-1)k+k)$ for any $1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le m$.

REMARK 4.1. When $m = 1$, the quantum hyper-Pfaffian is the same as the hyper-Pfaffian studied in [**JZ**], while the latter generalized and deformed Barvinok's hyper-Pfaffian [**B**], see also [**LT**].

When $k = 2$, the quantum hyper-Pfaffian is a quantum analog of Matsumoto's hyper-Pfaffian [**M**].

When $k = 1$, $\mathrm{Pf}_q^{[1,m]}(\mathscr{B}) = \frac{[n]_{q^2}!}{[n]_q!}\det_q(\mathscr{B})$.

Let $I = I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots I_m$ be a subset of $[1, kn]^m$ satisfying $|I_j| = k$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. $i_1^j < i_2^j < \cdots < i_k^j$ are elements in I_j. Denote $b_I = b_{I_1,\ldots,I_m} = b_{i_1^1 \ldots i_k^1 \ldots i_1^m \ldots i_k^m}$.

Let $K = K_1 \times K_2 \times \cdots K_m$ be a subset of $[1, kn]^m$ satisfying $|K_j| = 2k$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned}(-q)^{k^2} \sum_{i_1^1 < j_1^1} (-q)^{inv(I,J)} b_I b_J \\ = \sum_{i_1^1 < j_1^1} (-q)^{inv(J,I)} b_J b_I,\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where $I = I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots I_m$ is the subset of K such that $|I_j| = k$, $J = J_1 \times J_2 \times \cdots J_m$, J_i is the complement of I_i in K_i, $inv(I, J) = \sum_{t=1}^m inv(I_t, J_t)$, $inv(I_t, J_t) = |\{(i_s^t > j_s^t)\}|$, the sum runs over all subsets I of K such that $i_1^1 < j_1^1$.

The hyper-Pfaffian can be alternatively defined as follows. Let

$$\mathrm{Pf}'_q(\mathscr{B}) = \sum_I (-q)^{\sum_{s,t} i_t^s - \frac{k(k+1)m}{2}} b_I \mathrm{Pf}'_q(\mathscr{B}_{I^c}), \tag{4.3}$$

where the sum runs over all the subset I of $[1, kn]^m$ satisfying $|I_j| = k$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$, i_1^1 is the smallest in the set $[1, kn]$. $I^c = I_1^c \times \cdots \times I_m^c$.

Similar to [**JZ**], the following two lemmas can be obtained by induction on n.

LEMMA 4.2. *The Pfaffian* $\mathrm{Pf}'_q(\mathscr{B})$ *can be expanded as follows:*

$$\mathrm{Pf}'_q(\mathscr{B}) = \sum_\sigma (-q)^{\ell(\sigma)} \prod_{i=1}^n b_{\sigma_1((i-1)k+1)\ldots\sigma_1((i-1)k+k)\ldots\sigma_m((i-1)k+1)\ldots\sigma_m((i-1)k+k)}, \tag{4.4}$$

where $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m)$, $\ell(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^m \ell(\sigma_i)$, *the sum runs over the permutations satisfying* $\sigma_j((i-1)k+1) < \ldots < \sigma_j((i-1)k+k)$ *for any* $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$ *and* $\sigma_1(1) < \sigma_1(k+1) < \sigma_1(k(n-1)+1)$.

PROOF. This is verified by definition and induction. □

LEMMA 4.3. *One has that*

$$\sum_I (-q)^{\sum_{s,t} i_t^s - \frac{k(k+1)m}{2}} b_I \mathrm{Pf}'_q(\mathscr{B}_{I^c}) = [n]_{q^{k^2}} \mathrm{Pf}'_q(\mathscr{B}) \tag{4.5}$$

where the sum runs over all subsets I *of* $[1, kn]^m$ *satisfying* $|I_j| = k$ *for* $1 \leq j \leq m$, $I^c = I_1^c \times \cdots \times I_m^c$.

PROOF. This is easily verified by Lemma 4.2 using induction. □

THEOREM 4.4. *If the elements of $\mathscr{B}$ satisfy relation* (4.2) *for any subset K of $[1,kn]^m$, $|K_j| = 2k$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, then the two definitions of the quantum hyper-Pfaffian are equivalent.*

PROOF. We prove the statement by induction on n. It follows from definition that

$$\mathrm{Pf}_q^{[k,m]}(\mathscr{B}) = \frac{1}{[n]_{q^{k^2}}!}\sum_{I,\sigma}(-q)^{\ell(\sigma)+inv(I,I^c)}b_I b_\sigma, \tag{4.6}$$

where $b_\sigma = \prod_{i=1}^n b_{\sigma_2((i-1)k+1)\ldots\sigma_2((i-1)k+k)\ldots\sigma_m((i-1)k+1)\ldots\sigma_m((i-1)k+k)}$, $\sigma = (\sigma_2,\ldots,\sigma_m)$, $\ell(\sigma) = \sum_{i=2}^m \ell(\sigma_i)$, and the sum runs over the permutations satisfying $\sigma_j((i-1)k+1) < \cdots < \sigma_j((i-1)k+k)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$.

By induction one has that

$$\sum_\sigma (-q)^{\ell(\sigma)}b_\sigma = [n-1]_{q^{k^2}}!\mathrm{Pf}'_q(\mathscr{B}_{I^c}), \tag{4.7}$$

where σ is defined as above.

Then

$$\mathrm{Pf}_q^{[k,m]}(\mathscr{B}) = \frac{1}{[n]_{q^{k^2}}}\sum_\sigma (-q)^{inv(I,I^c)}b_I\mathrm{Pf}'_q(\mathscr{B}_{I^c}), \tag{4.8}$$

thus $\mathrm{Pf}_q^{[k,m]}(\mathscr{B}) = \mathrm{Pf}'_q(\mathscr{B})$ by Lemma 4.3. □

We also have the following Laplace expansion for the hyper-Pfaffian.

PROPOSITION 4.5. *For any $0 \leq t \leq n$,*

$$\mathrm{Pf}^{[k,m]}(\mathscr{B}) = \left[\begin{array}{c} n \\ t \end{array}\right]_{q^{k^2}}\sum_I (-q)^{inv(I,I^c)}\mathrm{Pf}^{[k,m]}(\mathscr{B}_I)\mathrm{Pf}^{[k,m]}(\mathscr{B}_{I^c}), \tag{4.9}$$

where the sum runs over all the subset I of $[1,kn]^m$ satisfying $|I_j| = tk$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$, $I^c = I_1^c \times \cdots \times I_m^c$.

PROOF. Let $\Omega = \sum_I b_I x_I$, where $I = I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots \times I_m$ runs through all the subsets of $[1,kn]^m$ such that $|I_j| = k$, $x_I = x_{I_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{I_m}$. Then

$$\Omega^n = [n]_{q^{k^2}}!\mathrm{Pf}^{[k,m]}(\mathscr{B})x_{[1,2n]^m}. \tag{4.10}$$

One the other hand, $\Omega^n = \Omega^t \wedge \Omega^{n-t}$, and

$$\Omega^t = [t]_{q^{k^2}}!\sum_I \mathrm{Pf}^{[k,m]}(\mathscr{B}_I)x_I, \tag{4.11}$$

$$\Omega^{n-t} = [n-t]_{q^{k^2}}!\sum_J \mathrm{Pf}^{[k,m]}(\mathscr{B}_J)x_J \tag{4.12}$$

where $I = I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots I_m$, $|I_s| = tk$ for $1 \le s \le m$, and $J = J_1 \times J_2 \times \cdots J_m$, $|J_s| = tk$ for $1 \le s \le m$. Then

$$\Omega^n = [t]_{q^{k^2}}![n-t]_{q^{k^2}}! \sum_I \mathrm{Pf}^{[k,m]}(\mathscr{B}_I) \sum_J \mathrm{Pf}^{[k,m]}(\mathscr{B}_J) x_I x_J. \tag{4.13}$$

Note that $x_I x_J = 0$ except $J = I^c$, and $x_I x_{I^c} = (-q)^{\ell(I,I^c)} x_{[1,kn]^m}$. Comparing with Eq. (4.15), we conclude that

$$\mathrm{Pf}(\mathscr{B}) = \left[\begin{array}{c} n \\ t \end{array} \right]_{q^{k^2}} \sum_I \mathrm{Pf}^{[k,m]}(\mathscr{B}_I) \mathrm{Pf}^{[k,m]}(\mathscr{B}_{I^c}).$$

□

THEOREM 4.6. *Suppose $k = pk'$, Then*

$$\mathrm{Pf}^{[k,m]}(\mathrm{Pf}^{[k',m]}(\mathscr{B}_J)) = \frac{[pn]_{q^{k'^2}}!}{([p]_{q^{k'^2}}!)^n [n]_{q^{k^2}}!} \mathrm{Pf}^{[k',m]}(\mathscr{B}), \tag{4.14}$$

where $J = J_1 \times \cdots \times J_m$, $|J_s| = k$ for $1 \le s \le m$.

PROOF. Let $\Omega_{k'} = \sum_I b_I x_I$, where $I = I_1 \times \cdots \times I_m$ runs through subsets of $[1, kn]^m$ satisfying $|I_j| = k'$, and $x_I = x_{I_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{I_m}$. Then

$$\Omega_{k'}{}^{pn} = [pn]_{q^{k'^2}}! \mathrm{Pf}^{[k',m]}(\mathscr{B}) x_{[1,2n]^m}. \tag{4.15}$$

Clearly $\Omega_{k'}{}^{pn} = (\Omega_{k'}{}^p)^n$, and

$$\Omega_{k'}{}^p = [p]_{q^{k'^2}}! \sum_J \mathrm{Pf}^{[k',m]}(\mathscr{B}_J) x_J, \tag{4.16}$$

where $J = J_1 \times \cdots \times J_m$, $|J_s| = k$ for $1 \le s \le m$. Then

$$(\Omega_{k'}{}^p)^n = ([p]_{q^{k'^2}}!)^n [n]_{q^{k^2}}! \mathrm{Pf}^{[k,m]}(\mathrm{Pf}^{[k',m]}(\mathscr{B}_J)). \tag{4.17}$$

Comparing (4.15) and (4.17), we see that (4.14) holds. □

5. Relationship between Pfaffians and determinants

THEOREM 5.1. *Let $\mathscr{B} = (b_{i_1 \ldots i_k})_{1 \le i_1, \ldots, i_k \le kn}$ be a hyper-matrix, and suppose its entries $b_{i_1 \ldots i_m}$ commute with all the elements in algebra $\mathscr{A}$. For any $I = I_1 \times \cdots \times I_{m-1}$, $|I_s| = k$, $1 \le s \le m-1$, let $\mathscr{C} = (c_I)$ be the hypermatrix with*

$$c_I = \sum_J b_J \xi(J, I_1, \ldots, I_{m-1}), \tag{5.1}$$

where J runs over the subsets of $[1, 2n]$ such that $|J| = k$, then one has that

$$\mathrm{Pf}_q^{[k,m-1]}(\mathscr{C}) = \mathrm{Det}_q^{[m]}(\mathscr{A}) \mathrm{Pf}_q^{[k,1]}(\mathscr{B}). \tag{5.2}$$

PROOF. Let $\Omega = \sum c_I x_I$. Then

$$\Omega^n = [n]_{q^{k^2}}! \mathrm{Pf}^{[k,m-1]}(\mathscr{C}) x_{[1,2n]^{m-1}}. \tag{5.3}$$

Since $\omega_J = \sum_I \xi(J, I_1, \ldots, I_{m-1}) x_I$, one can write that

$$\Omega = \sum_I c_I x_I = \sum_{I,J} b_J \xi(J, I_1, \ldots, I_{m-1}) x_I = \sum_J b_J \omega_J.$$

Then $\Omega^n = [n]_{q^{k^2}}! \mathrm{Pf}^{[k,1]}(\mathscr{B}) \omega_{[1,kn]} = [n]_{q^{k^2}}! \mathrm{Pf}^{[k,1]}(\mathscr{B}) \mathrm{Det}_q^{[m]}(\mathscr{A}) x_{[1,2n]^{m-1}}$. From which (5.2) is obtained. □

COROLLARY 5.2. *Let $b = (b_{ij})$, $1 \le i, j \le 2n$ be any matrix, $b_{2i-1,2i} = 1$, all other entries are 0. For any $I = I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots I_{m-1}$, $|I_s| = 2$, $1 \le s \le m-1$, let $c_I = \sum_J b_J \xi(J, I_1, \ldots, I_{m-1})$, the sum runs over the subset J of $[1, 2n]$ satisfying $|J| = 2$, then $\mathrm{Det}_q^{[m]}(\mathscr{A}) = \mathrm{Pf}_q^{[2,m-1]}(\mathscr{C})$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Pf}_q^{[2,m-1]}(\mathscr{C})$ can be simplified as (4.3) and (4.2).*

PROOF. Since $\mathrm{Pf}_q^{[2,1]}(B) = 1$, the first statement follows from Theorem 5.1. By straightforward computation the entries of $\mathscr{C}$ satisfy the relations in Theorem 4.4, then $\mathrm{Pf}_q^{[2,m-1]}(\mathscr{C})$ can be simplified. □

PROPOSITION 5.3. *Let $\mathscr{A}$ be the algebra generated by $a_{i_1 \ldots i_m}$, $1 \le i_k \le kn$. For any $J = J_1 \times J_2 \times \cdots J_m$, $|J_s| = k$, $b_J = \xi_J = \xi(J_1, \ldots, J_m)$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Det}_q^{[m]}(\mathscr{A}) = \frac{([n]_{q^2}!)^{n-1}([k]_q!)^n [n]_{q^{k^2}}!}{([n]_q!)^{n-1}[kn]_q!} \mathrm{Pf}^{[k,m]}(b_J). \tag{5.4}$$

PROOF. Suppose $k' = 1$ in Theorem 4.6, one has that

$$\mathrm{Pf}^{[k,m]}(\mathrm{Pf}^{[1,m]}(\mathscr{A}_J)) = \frac{[kn]_q!}{([k]_q!)^n [n]_{q^{k^2}}!} \mathrm{Pf}^{[1,m]}(\mathscr{A}), \tag{5.5}$$

where $J = J_1 \times J_2 \times \cdots J_m$, $|J_s| = k$, for $1 \le s \le m$. It follows from Remark 4.1 that $\mathrm{Pf}_q^{[1,m]}(\mathscr{A}_J) = \frac{[n]_{q^2}!}{[n]_q!} \xi_J = \frac{[n]_{q^2}!}{[n]_q!} b_J$, $\mathrm{Pf}^{[1,m]}(\mathscr{A}) = \frac{[n]_{q^2}!}{[n]_q!} \mathrm{Det}_q^{[m]}(\mathscr{A})$. Then

$$(\frac{[n]_{q^2}!}{[n]_q!})^n \mathrm{Pf}^{[k,m]}(b_J) = \frac{[n]_{q^2}!}{[n]_q!} \frac{[kn]_q!}{([k]_q!)^n [n]_{q^{k^2}}!} \mathrm{Det}_q^{[m]}(\mathscr{A}), \tag{5.6}$$

which implies (5.4). □

6. Conclusion and discussion

We have defined a notion of quantum hypermatrices and introduced the quantum hyperdeterminant and quantum hyper-Pfaffians. The quantum hyperdeterminant has quantized Cayley's first hyperdeterminant and provided a quantum invariant for the space of the quantum matrices. In particular we have obtained the formula:

$$\mathrm{Det}_q(B \circ_k A) = \det_q(B) \mathrm{Det}_q(A). \tag{6.1}$$

We also proved that the space $\mathscr{A}$ is a co-bimodule for a tensor product of the quantum coordinate ring $\mathrm{Mat}_q(n)$:

$$\mathscr{A} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mat}_q(n)^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathscr{A} \otimes \mathrm{Mat}_q(n)^{\otimes m}. \tag{6.2}$$

Using the dual co-algebra structure, we also obtain that $\mathscr{A}$ is a bi-module for $U_q(sl(n))^{\otimes m}$:

$$U_q(sl(n))^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathscr{A} \otimes U_q(sl(n))^{\otimes m} \longrightarrow \mathscr{A}. \tag{6.3}$$

Using this map we have shown that the image of Det_q is exactly $\det_q^{\otimes m}$ in the space $\mathrm{GL}_q(n)^{\otimes m}$. However our hyperdeterminant Det_q is not a central element in $\mathscr{A}$. This means that the algebra $\mathscr{A}$ is a very general uplift of the algebra $\mathrm{GL}_q(n)^{\otimes m}$.

We conjecture that there exists an intermediate quotient algebra $\overline{\mathscr{A}} = \mathscr{A}/I$, where the ideal I contains some relations that make the quantum hyperdeterminant Det_q a central element. This ideal is trivial in the case of 2-dimensional quantum matrices (i.e. $m = 1$ case). We note that quantum analogs of Cayley's other hyperdeterminants may provide a solution, as indicated by the classical case (cf. [**R1, R2, GKZ**]). On the other hand, this also means that we have obtained some optimal relations to define both quantum hyperdetermiant and the quantum hyper-Pfaffian.

For $m = 4$, if one uses the quantum Weyl algebra to define the quadratic relations for quantum hypermatrices, there are more relations than our current approach of using the quantum exterior algebra. However, it seems that the quantum Weyl algebra is also not enough to make Det_q central.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by Simons Foundation 198129 and NSFC grant Nos. 11271138 and 11531004. The second author thanks the hospitality of North Carolina State University and CSC Fellowship during the project.

References

[B] A. I. Bavinok, *New algorithms for linear k-matroid intersection and matroid k-parity problems*, Math. Programming 69 (1995), Ser. A, 449–470.

[C] A. Cayley, *On the theory of determinants*, Trans. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 8 (1843), 1–16.

[FRT] L. D. Faddeev, N. Yu. Reshetikhin, L. A. Tacktajan, *Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Algebraic analysis, Vol. I, pp. 129–139, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.

[GKZ] I. M. Gelfand, M. M. Kapronov, A. V. Zelevinsky, *Discriminants, resultants and multidimensional determinants*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1994.

[HT] M. Hashimoto, T. Hayashi, *Quantum multilinear algebra*. Tohoku Math. J. (2) 44 (1992), 471–521.

[J] M. Jimbo, *A q-analogue of $U(gl(N+1))$, Hecke algebra, and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. 11 (1986), 247–252.

[JR] N. Jing, R. Ray, *Zonal polynomials and quantum antisymmetric matrices*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica (N.S.) 7 (2012), 1–31.

[JZ] N. Jing, J. Zhang, *Quantum Pfaffians and hyper-Pfaffians*, Adv. Math. 265 (2014), 336–361.

[K] C. Kassel, *Quantum groups*, GTM 155, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
[KL] D. Krob and B. Leclerc, *Minor identities for quasi-determinants and quantum determinants*, Comm. Math. Phys. 169 (1995), 1–23.
[L1] M. Lecat, *Lecon sur la théorie des deéterminants à n dimensions avec applications à l'algébre, à la géometrie, etc*, AD. Hoste, Gand, 1910.
[L2] M. Lecat, *Coup d'oeil sur la applications des deéterminants à supérieurs*, Louvain, Ceuterick, 1929.
[LT] J.-G. Luque and J. Y. Thibon, *Pfaffian and Hafnian identities in shuffle algebras*, Adv. Appl. Math. 29 (2002), 620–646.
[M] S. Matsumoto, *Hyperdeterminantal expressions for Jack functions of rectangular shapes*, Journal of Algebra 320.2 (2008): 612-632.
[Ma1] Yu. I. Manin, *Quantum groups and noncommutative geometry*, Publications du Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Université de Montréal, Montréal, 1988
[Ma2] Yu. I. Manin, *Notes on quantum groups and quantum de Rham complexes*, Teoret. Mat. Fiz. 92 (1992), no. 3, 425–450; translation in Theoret. and Math. Phys. 92 (1992), 997–1023 (1993).
[Mat] S. Matsumoto, *Hyperdeterminantal expressions for Jack functions of rectangular shapes*, J. Algebra 320 (2008), 612–632.
[NYM] M. Noumi, H. Yamada, and K. Mimachi, *Finite-dimensional representations of the quantum group* $\mathrm{GL}_q(n;C)$ *and the zonal spherical functions on* $U_q(n-1)\backslash U_q(n)$, Japan. J. Math. (N.S.) 19 (1993), 31–80.
[Re] D. Redelmeier, *Higherpfaffians in algebraic combinatorics*, Ph.D thesis, Univ. Waterloo, 2006.
[R1] R. H. Rice, *Compound of Cayley products of determinants of higher class*, J. Math. Phys. 6 (1929), 33–38.
[R2] R. H. Rice, *Introduction to higher determinants*, J. Math. Phys. 9 (1930), 47–70.
[So1] N. P. Sokolov, *Special matrices and their applications*, Gosudarstv, Izdat. Fiz. Math. Lit., Moscow, 1960.
[So2] N. P. Sokolov, *Introduction to the theory of multidimensional matrices*, Kiev, Nukova Dumka, 1972.
[S] E. Strickland, *Classical invariant theory for the quantum symplectic group*, Adv. Math. 123 (1996), 78–90.
[TT] E. Taft, J. Towber, *Quantum deformation of flag schemes and Grassmann schemes. I. A q-deformation of the shape-algebra for* $\mathrm{GL}(n)$, J. Algebra 142 (1991), 1–36.

Department of Mathematics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695, USA
E-mail address: jing@math.ncsu.edu

Institute of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil 05315-970
E-mail address: zhang@usp.ime.br